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Complexity of Rural Migration Flows
Complexity of Rural Migration Flows
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Population Dynamics
The population of Latvia is shrinking both because of reduction in natural growth and because of migration. Population number in non-urban areas around urban centres by contrast, are slightly increasing.
Population Dynamics 2000-2013
population shrank from 2.2 million to just 2.0 million

15.5% of the municipalities grown, 84.7% has decreased, 40.9% decreased even more than 20%.
Rural Migration Background - Rural Transformations
The end of the 20-th century and the beginning of the 21-st century are characterized with number of system-innovations or transitions /Geels, 2002/ in the rural areas

GLOBAL and EUROPEAN

• From industrial society to postindustrial society
• From traditional society to modern society
• From productivism to postproductivism
• From production to consumption

EASTERN EUROPEAN

• From collectivism to postcollectivism

The features of these processes may be observed in Latvia too and lead the structural changes of the rural areas and society
Rural Transformations

- The factors influencing the processes in total contain very different phenomena:
  - regaining of state independence (1991);
  - land reform - reestablishment of private property;
  - land restitution to former owners;
  - from large farms to small farms;
  - massive economical recession.
Rural Transformations

- integration in a common European economic space
- growing mobility
- spreading of the globalization
- development of a minority of technologically up-to-date business farms and a majority of small-scale producers and often subsistence farms
- capability of people to adapt to the new system of values
- loss of the sense of ideological and economic protection

(Shoard 1980; Body 1982; Potter 1998)
Political and economical changes serve as a drive for:

– demographical changes
– labour market & mobility & society structure
– formation of new economic elite and new configuration of class, gender and interests

In the post-socialism conditions, the formation of new groups of population connected with the entrepreneurship of different character as well as structural unemployed groups are growing too
Mobility, Depopulation & Changes in Population Structure
Theoretical Background
Geographical Mobility & Social Structure

• The power of geographical mobility to effect society structure depends on 3 main factors:
  1) the numbers involved;
  2) the duration of the movement;
  3) its demographical and class composition.
• The movements composed of persons with higher human capital would have a greater impact on receiving societies due of the greater capacity

Portes A. (2008)
Population Changes 1996-2011

Legend:
- No 0 lidz -10%
- No -11% lidz -30%
- No -31% lidz -50%
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- No 70%
1996-2000 dominate areas 0-10% increase
2000-2006 dominate areas 0-10% decrease
2006-2011 dominate areas 11-30% decrease
### Population Structure by Age & Sex, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 15</td>
<td>11,6 %</td>
<td>11,0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 - 62</td>
<td>32,0 %</td>
<td>33,3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 63</td>
<td>4,2 %</td>
<td>7,9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Marupe municipality** – peri-urban – optimal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 15</td>
<td>6,0 %</td>
<td>5,2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 - 62</td>
<td>37,4 %</td>
<td>30,2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 63</td>
<td>7,6 %</td>
<td>13,6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Akniste municipality** – remout - unbalanced
SAEIMAS DEMOGRĀFIJAS APAKŠKOMISIJA, 2014.GADA 11.MARTĀ
ILMĀRS MEŽS, Dr.hist.
Border Problem:
Population Decrease by 51-70%, 1996-2011
Changes in Population Structure

Due to geographical mobility:

– in peri-urban areas social structure becomes more diverse and more optimal for development;

– in remote non-urban areas social structure becomes less diverse and rather unbalanced for future development, for sustainable development
Mobility & Labour Market
From stability to mobility

- Due to the **political transformations** at the end of the 20th century the **structures of national economics** and sector divisions have changed

- **Labour market changes** - the proportion of people employed in agriculture and the industrial sector in Latvia has noticeably diminished and many private sector employers have entered the labour market, they also make up the majority of employers today

- Employed in agriculture 2000-2009 decline by 38,2%
  (The fifth highest reduction: Bulgaria-48,1%, Estonia-55%, Romania-41,1%, Slovakia - 42,5%).
  Average reduction EU 24,9%

- **New survival strategies, problem solutions** – **mobility**

• The population mobility could be largely explained by labour market processes
• Weakness of rural labour market stimulates multi-step population outflows
• **Mobility as a life strategy** - formation of structural dependency on migration

**Changes in society structure:**
1) new population groups in rural areas - commuters, urban newcomers, “project class”
2) age, sex structure – unbalanced (depopulation, ageing)
3) weakens communities (except peri-urban areas)
• The population mobility could be largely explained by labour market processes

• Due to the political transformations of the end of the 20th century, the structures of national economics and sector divisions have changed

• The proportion of people employed in agriculture and industrial sector in Latvia has noticeably diminished and many private sector employers have entered the labour market; they also make up the majority of employers today

• Factor of population mobility in rural areas expressed as **nostalgia for large industrial type enterprises/collective farms** that would employ a large number of the local population.

• It is expressed repeatedly in the interviews with municipality officials, which could be interpreted as a barrier for involvement of new forms of employment and encouragement of outflow of rural population.
Economically Active Individual Merchants and Commercial Companies per 1000 Inhabitants in Municipalities, 2011
Why so Different Population and Development Scenarios?

Tomēr kāpēc vieni pagasti attīstās labāk kā citi?
• Innovations as a drive to minimize/diminish economical migration
  Innovation level in Latvia is the lowest in Baltic states
  – low activity of innovation actions, low farm initiative
  Innovative entrepreneurship: 29% in Latvia, 35% in Lithuania, 56% in Estonia
  /data from LR Ministry of Finances 2014/

• This is dormant potential for the migration diminishing
Final Remarks
Final Remarks

• Current occupational structure is disadvantaged for socio-economic non-urban development

• Weakness of non-urban labour market stimulates multi-step population outflows

• Disadvantaged occupational structure could be compensated by accessibility of urban centres

• Space of financial, technological, administration etc. flows does not cover remote non-urban areas – and creates new outflows
Final Remarks

• Formation of structural dependency on migration (of both origin and receiving areas)
  *Veidojas noteikta struktūrā atkarība no migrācijas (gan izcelsmes, gan uzņēmējās valstīs)*

• Structural adjustment erodes public services
  *Struktūrā korekcija grauj sabiedriskos pakalpojumus*

• Weakens communities *vājina kopienas*

• Transformation - From once-in-a-lifetime *migration* to *mobility* as a life strategy

• People belong to more than one territorial community
Hot problems

• Rural depopulation & out migration
• Decreasing of work places and services; emigration and ageing of population, weak infrastructure
• Insufficient and spatially unequal social capital
• Lack of long-term rural development strategies
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